### **Modified Takabayasi String in Bulk Viscous Bianchi Type-III Cosmology: Dynamics in the Presence of Variable <sup>Λ</sup>**

Archana Gajanan Ingle<sup>1</sup>, Preeti Shrivastava<sup>2</sup>, Ritesh kumar Dubey<sup>3</sup> and Shailendra D. Deo<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PGTD Mathematics, Gondwana University, Gadchiroli, Maharashtra, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shri shankracharya Mahavidyalaya, Junwani, Bhilai (CG), India <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shri shankracharya Mahavidyalaya, Junwani, Bhilai (CG), India <sup>4</sup>Mahatma Gandhi College of Science, Gadchandur, Dist. Chandrapur, Maharashtra, India <sup>1</sup>E-mail: archanaingle $939@$ gmail.com,  ${}^{2}E$ -mail: preeti.kk.1980@gmail.com  ${}^{3}E$ -mail: dubevii27@gmail.com,  ${}^{4}E$ -mail: shailendradeo36@gmail.com

### **Abstract:**

In the current work, the solutions for Bianchi type III cosmological models in the presence of bulk viscous fluid are derived using a modified Takabayasi string of the form  $\rho = (1 +$  $\omega$ ) $\lambda + \Lambda$ . In order to achieve a realistic model, we make the assumption that there is a relationship between the metric potential, denoted as  $X_2$ , and another variable, denoted as  $X_3$ , raised to the power of *n*. We examined the significance of the  $\Lambda$  word in the cosmic evolution. In this study, we examined two distinct scenarios for the cosmological model, namely case i) where  $\omega$  tends towards infinity, and case ii) where  $\omega$  tends towards zero. In both situations, we have determined the physical meaning of the cosmological term  $\Lambda$  in a quadratic form, specifically  $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1 H + \Lambda_2 H^2$ . The physical and geometrical components of the model are thoroughly examined for both scenarios, and their associated solutions are discussed. It has been noted that the resulting models align with current findings and literature that support the idea of the universe's acceleration. In addition, we have generated diagrams to facilitate a comprehensive analysis of each individual scenario.

**Keywords:** Modified Takabayasi String, Bianchi Type III Spacetime, Bulk Viscosity, cosmological Constant Λ.

### **1. Introduction**

During the birth of the universe, string theory emerged. According to this theory, cosmic strings are formed after the big bang explosion during phase transitions, when the temperature drops below a critical point. This concept has been discussed by Zel'dovich,

Kibble, and Vilenkin [46, 19, 44]. Stachel (reference: [38]) has examined the properties of strings that have no mass. Letelier [21] investigated the properties of enormous strings composed of a geometric thread with particles attached along its length. These strings, known as cloud strings, are a generalization of Takabayasi's realistic model of strings [40], which are referred to as  $p$  strings [20]. Letelier [21] provided the following examples of equations of state for strings:

The geometric string is defined by the equation  $\rho = \lambda$ , where  $\rho_p$  represents the rest energy density of the cloud. The equation for Takabayasi strings is given by  $\rho = (1 + \omega)\lambda$ . The equation is defined as  $\rho = \rho(\lambda)$ , where  $\rho_n = \rho - \lambda$ . Here,  $\omega$  is a positive constant. The modified equation of state (EoS) for a cloud of string is given by the expression  $\rho = (1 +$  $\omega$ ) $\lambda + \Lambda(t)$ .

The symbol  $\Lambda(t)$  represents the cosmological constant. The physical and geometrical components of the model are thoroughly examined for both scenarios, and their associated solutions are discussed. It has been noted that the resulting models align with current findings and literature that support the idea of the universe's accelerating model. In addition, we have generated diagrams to facilitate a comprehensive analysis of each individual situation.

Banerjee et al. [2] have studied some cosmological solutions of massive strings for Bianchi type I space-time while Rahman et al. [32] have investigated the case of geometric string, Takabayasi string and barotropic string in their research work. Bianchi Type-III cosmological models with gravitational constant G and the cosmological constant Λ have been studied by [36]. Yadav et al. [45] have discussed some Bianchi Type III string cosmological models with bulk Viscosity and while Bali and Pradhan [1] have studied Bianchi Type III string cosmological models with time dependent bulk viscosity.

Tiwari and Sharma [42] have discussed non existence of shear in Bianchi Type-III string cosmological models with bulk viscosity and time dependent Λ term and Singh [37] investigated anisotropic Bianchi V universe with magnetic field and bulk viscous fluid by taking different string models like geometric (Nambu string), Takabayasi string ( $p$ -string) and Reddy string and discussed the physical and geometrical aspects of each string model. Inhomogeneous Bianchi type-VIo String Cosmological Model for Stiff Perfect Fluid Distribution in General Relativity has been discussed by [35].

Nojiri and Odinstov [27] investigated inhomogeneous equation of state of the universe: Phantom era, future singularity and crossing the phantom barrier. Cosmological scenarios with a time-varying Λ were proposed by several researchers. A number of models with different decay laws for the variation of cosmological term were investigated during last two decades [8, 30,7,22,23].

The present universe is subject to an acceleration, which can be explained in terms of an ideal fluid (dark energy) weakly interacting with usual matter and which has an uncommon EOS. The origin of this dark energy is really dark: the proposed explanations vary from the modifications of gravity to the introduction of new fields with really strange properties. In the study of celebrated dark energy problem (for a review, see [9]) the ideal fluid with specific (sometimes, strange) EOS remains to be the simplest possibility for the description of the current cosmic acceleration. Various examples of ideal fluid EOS may be considered for this purpose: constant EOS with negative pressure, imperfect EOS [6], General EOS [26, 39], inhomogeneous EOS [27, 28, 5, 3, 33] and so on for extensive review, see [9]. Moreover, general relativity with ideal fluid of any type may be rewritten in the equivalent form [5] as modified gravity [24]. Of course, the introduction of ideal fluid with complicated EOS is somehow phenomenological approach because no explanation of the origin of such dark fluid follows.

Brevik et al. [4] studied a model where there is an ideal fluid with an inhomogeneous equation of state of the form  $p = \omega(t) \rho + \Lambda(t)$ , in which the parameters  $\omega(t)$  and  $\Lambda(t)$ depend linearly on time. One of these proposals deals with dark fluids, which possess an inhomogeneous EOS that may depend on time [27,29,5]. Gadbail et al. [10] have studied Interaction of divergence-free deceleration parameter in Weyl-type  $f(Q, T)$  gravity while Kumar et al. [16] have investigated Two Fluids Cosmological Model in  $(2 + 1)$ -Dimensional Saez-Ballester Scalar-Tensor Theory of Gravitation. Talole et al. [41] have discussed Viscous Modified Ghost Scalar Field Dark Energy Models with Varying  $G$  and Kumbhare et al. [15] have studeied Strange Quark Matter attached to String Cloud in General Relativity under 5D space-time.

Islam et al. [12] investigated Gravitational Model of Compact Spherical Reissner-Nordström-Type Star Under  $f(R, T)$  Gravity and Georgiev et al. [11] have brief about the Two Dimensional Integral Inequalities on Time Scales. Ramtekkar et al. [31] have discussed FRW Viscous Modified Cosmic Chaplygin Gas Cosmology with Variable Cosmological Constant Λ, Khadekar et al. [17] Modified Chaplygin gas with bulk viscous cosmology in FRW  $(2+1)$ -dimensional spacetime and Islam et al. [13]  $(2+1)$  dimensional cosmological models in  $f(R, T)$  gravity with  $\Lambda(R, T)$ .

In 2008, Jamila and Rashid [14] have investigated the model of dark energy interacting with dark matter by choosing inhomogeneous equation of state for dark energy and a nonlinear interaction term for the underlying interaction. The equations of state have dependencies either on the energy densities, the redshift, the Hubble parameter or the bulk viscosity.

By considering these possibilities the authors have derived the effective equations of state for the dark energy and studied each case in detail. Recently, Tripathi [43] have studied Inhomogeneous Bianchi Type I Cosmological Model for Stiff Perfect Fluid Distribution. Also, FRW viscous fluid cosmological model with time-dependent inhomogeneous equation of state have been studied by [18].

In this study, we have examined the modified Takabayasi string, represented by the equation  $\rho = (1 + \omega)\lambda + \Lambda$ , in the context of Bianchi III spacetime. Specifically, we have focused on the case where the parameter  $\omega$  approaches its limiting value in the presence of a bulk viscous fluid denoted by  $\zeta$ . The structure of the work is as follows: The concise overview of the introduction is offered in section 1. Section 2 provides a description of the metric and field equations.

Section 3 provides a summary of the paper's findings, specifically Case i) when  $\omega$ approaches infinity, and Case ii) when  $\omega$  approaches zero. The results of our research are detailed in section (4). The study concludes with final thoughts in the last section.

### **2. The Metric and it's Field Equations**

Let us consider the Bianchi type-III space-time of the metric as

$$
ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + X_{1}^{2}dx^{2} + X_{2}^{2}e^{2\alpha x}dy^{2} + X_{3}^{2}dz^{2} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (1)
$$

Here, we assume the energy momentum tensor with bulk stress in the given form as,

$$
T_{\mu\nu} = \rho u_{\mu} u_{\nu} - \lambda x_{\mu} x_{\nu} - \zeta \Theta(u_{\mu} u_{\nu} + g_{\mu \nu}) \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (2)
$$

Where,  $\rho$ ,  $\zeta$  and  $\lambda$  are the energy density, coefficient of bulk viscosity and the string tension respectively.  $u_{\mu}$  is the four velocity vector of the fluid satisfying the relation  $u_{\mu}u^{\nu} = -1$  and the string is assumed in the  $Z$ -direction.

We consider modified EoS for Takabayasi string of the following form as given,

 $\rho = (1 + \omega)\lambda + \Lambda(t)$  … … … … … … . (3)

Here, we take the cosmological term  $\Lambda$  in quadratic form as

$$
\Lambda = \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1 H + \Lambda_2 H^2 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (4)
$$

The Einsteins field equations are given as

**1355-5243/© The Authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).**

125

$$
R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = -T_{\mu\nu} \dots \dots \dots \dots (5)
$$

The field equation (5) provides the solution for the metric Eq. (1) and energy momentum tensor (2) in the co-moving system of coordinates are

˙ 1˙ 2 1<sup>2</sup> + ˙ 2˙ 3 2<sup>3</sup> + ˙ 1˙ 3 1<sup>3</sup> − 2 1 <sup>2</sup> = … … … … … … … (6) ¨ 2 2 + ¨ 3 3 + ˙ 2˙ 3 2<sup>3</sup> = Θ … … … … … … . (7) ¨ 1 1 + ¨ 3 3 + ˙ 1˙ 3 1<sup>3</sup> = Θ … … … … . . … . (8) ¨ 1 1 + ¨ 2 2 + ˙ 1˙ 2 1<sup>2</sup> − 2 1 <sup>2</sup> = + Θ … … … . . … … … (9) ˙ 1 1 − ˙ 2 2 = 0 … … … … … … . . (10)

After integrating Eq. (10), we have

$$
X_1 = nX_2 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (11)
$$

where  $n$  is the constant of integration.

It is postulated that the expansion  $\Theta$  in the model is directly proportional to the shear scalar  $\sigma$ , resulting in the following condition as

$$
X_2 = X_3^m \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (12)
$$

The Einstein field equations from (6) to (9) can be written by,

2 ¨ 3 3 + (3 − 2) ˙ 3 2 3 <sup>2</sup> − 2 <sup>2</sup><sup>3</sup> 2 = + Θ … … … … … (13) ( + 2) ˙ 3 2 3 <sup>2</sup> − 2 <sup>2</sup><sup>3</sup> 2 = … … … … . … . . (14) ( + 1) ¨ 3 3 + <sup>2</sup> ˙ 3 2 3 <sup>2</sup> = Θ … … … … … . . (15)

The metric  $(1)$  introduces several defined quantities: the spatial volume denoted as  $V$ , the generalized Hubble parameter represented as  $H$ , the expansion factor indicated by  $\Theta$ , the shear scalar denoted as  $\sigma^2$ , the deceleration parameter represented by q, and the anisotropy parameter denoted as  $A_h$ ,

*(https://corrosion-management.com/)* **Volume 34, Issue 01 – June 2024**

**SCOPUS** 

$$
V = R^3 = X_3^{2m+1} \dots \dots \dots \dots (16)
$$
  
\n
$$
H = \frac{1}{3} (H_1 + H_2 + H_3) = \frac{(2m+1)\dot{X}_3}{3} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (17)
$$
  
\n
$$
\Theta = u_{;\mu}^{\mu} = (2m+1) \frac{\dot{X}_3}{X_3} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (18)
$$
  
\n
$$
\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 H_i^2 - \frac{\Theta^2}{3} \right) = \frac{(m-1)^2 \dot{X}_3^2}{3} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (19)
$$
  
\n
$$
q = -\frac{R\ddot{R}}{\dot{R}^2} = -\frac{3}{(2m+1)} \frac{\ddot{X}_3^2}{\dot{X}_3^2} + \frac{3}{(2m+1)} - 1, \dots \dots \dots \dots (20)
$$
  
\n
$$
u_2^2 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 (\Delta H_i)^2 \dots (m-1)^2
$$

$$
A_h^2 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \frac{\Delta H_i}{H} \right)^2 = 2 \frac{(m-1)^2}{(2m+1)^2} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \tag{21}
$$

### **3. Solution of the field equations**

From the Eq. (13) and Eq. (15), we get the following equation are

$$
\lambda = (m-1)\frac{\ddot{X}_3}{X_3} + 2m(m-1)\frac{\dot{X}_3^2}{X_3^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{n^2 X_3^{2m}} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (22)
$$

After using by modified equation of state from Eq. (3) and Eq. (14) becomes,

$$
\lambda = \frac{1}{(1+\omega)} \bigg[ m(m+2) \frac{\dot{X}_3^2}{X_3^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{n^2 X_3^{2m}} - \Lambda \bigg] \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (23)
$$

By equating Eqns. (22) and (23), we have

$$
\frac{\ddot{X}_3}{X_3} + \left[2m - \frac{m(m+2)}{(m-1)(1+\omega)}\right] \frac{\dot{X}_3^2}{X_3^2}
$$

$$
-\frac{\alpha^2 \omega}{n^2(m-1)(1+\omega)} \frac{1}{X_3^{2m}} + \frac{\lambda}{(m-1)(1+\omega)} = 0 \dots \dots \dots \dots (24)
$$

After using the help of Eq. (4), this equation can be reduces to

$$
\frac{\ddot{X}_3}{X_3} + \left[2m - \frac{m(m+2)}{(m-1)(\omega+1)} + \frac{\Lambda_2(2m+1)^2}{9(m-1)(\omega+1)}\right]\frac{\dot{X}_3^2}{X_3^2} + \frac{\Lambda_1(2m+1)}{3(m-1)(\omega+1)}\frac{\dot{X}_3}{X_3} - \frac{\alpha^2\omega}{n^2(m-1)(1+\omega)}\frac{1}{X_3^{2m}} + \frac{\Lambda_0}{(m-1)(1+\omega)} = 0 \dots \dots \dots \dots (25)
$$

It is not possible to obtain the exact solution of the above differential equation. Hence, here we discuss the following two limiting cases depending upon  $\omega$  as follows: Case (i):  $\omega \to \infty$ and Case (ii):  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ .

*(https://corrosion-management.com/)* **Volume 34, Issue 01 – June 2024**

### **3.1.Case (i):**  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$

When  $\omega \to \infty$ , the differential equation (25) can be obtained as,

¨

$$
\frac{\ddot{X}_3}{X_3} + 2m \frac{\dot{X}_3^2}{X_3^2} - \frac{\mathcal{A}_0}{X_3^{2m}} = 0 \dots \dots \dots \dots (26)
$$

where

$$
A_0 = \frac{\alpha^2}{n^2(m-1)}
$$

By using the substitution  $X_3 = e^{\mathcal{B}}$  in the differential equation Eq. (26), we get

$$
\ddot{B} + (2m + 1)\dot{B}^2 = \mathcal{A}_0 e^{-2m} \dots \dots \dots \dots (27)
$$

Again, we put  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^2 = p$  in Eq. (27), reduces to,

$$
\frac{dp}{dB} + 2(2m + 1)p = 2\mathcal{A}_0 e^{-2mB} \dots \dots \dots \dots (28)
$$

After integrating on both side, we have

$$
\int \frac{dB}{\sqrt{\frac{A_0 e^{-2mB}}{(m+1)} + c_0 e^{-2(2m+1)B}}}} = (t - t_0) \dots \dots \dots \dots (29)
$$

where  $c_0$  and  $t_0$  are the constant of integrating.

We are unable to determine the solution to the given differential equation.

Thus, we consider the specific case where  $c_0 = 0$ . Therefore, the differential equation (29) can be rewritten as

$$
\int e^{m\mathcal{B}}d\mathcal{B} = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{A}_0}{(m+1)}}(t-t_0) \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (30)
$$

After integrating the Eq. (30) on both side, we have

$$
\mathcal{B} = \log X_3
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{m} \log \left[ m \sqrt{\frac{A_0}{(m+1)}} (t - t_0) \right] \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (31)
$$

After substituting the value of  $\mathcal{A}_0$  from Eq. (27) in Eq. (31), we get

$$
X_3 = \left[\frac{\alpha m}{n\sqrt{(m^2 - 1)}}(t - t_0)\right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (32)
$$

**1355-5243/© The Authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).**

### **3.2.Some physical and geometrical aspects**

The expression for energy density  $\rho$ , string tension  $\lambda$ , cosmological term  $\Lambda$  and bulk viscosity coefficient  $\zeta$  are given as

$$
\rho = \frac{(2m+1)}{m^2} \frac{1}{(t - t_0)^2} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (33)
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda = 0 \dots \dots \dots \dots (34)
$$
  
\n
$$
\Lambda = \Lambda_0 + \frac{\Lambda_1(2m+1)}{3m} \frac{1}{(t - t_0)} + \frac{\Lambda_2(2m+1)^2}{9m^2} \frac{1}{(t - t_0)^2} \dots \dots \dots \dots (35)
$$
  
\n
$$
\zeta = \frac{1}{m(2m+1)} \frac{1}{(t - t_0)} \dots \dots \dots \dots (36)
$$

Similarly, the expression for spatial volume V, Hubble parameter  $H$ , expansion scalar  $\Theta$ , shear scalar  $\sigma^2$  are given follows

$$
V = \left[\frac{\alpha m(t - t_0)}{n\sqrt{(m^2 - 1)}}\right]^{\frac{2m+1}{m}} \dots \dots \dots \dots (37)
$$
  
\n
$$
H = \frac{(2m + 1)}{3m} \frac{1}{(t - t_0)} \dots \dots \dots \dots (38)
$$
  
\n
$$
\Theta = \frac{(2m + 1)}{m} \frac{1}{(t - t_0)} \dots \dots \dots (39)
$$
  
\n
$$
\sigma^2 = \frac{(m - 1)^2}{3m^2} \frac{1}{(t - t_0)^2} \dots \dots \dots (40)
$$
  
\n
$$
q = \frac{(m - 1)}{(2m + 1)} \dots \dots \dots (41)
$$
  
\n
$$
A_h = \frac{2(m - 1)^2}{(2m + 1)^2} \dots \dots \dots (42)
$$

### **3.3.**Case (ii):  $\omega \rightarrow 0$

In this case, Eq. (3) reduces to  $\rho = \lambda + \Lambda(t)$  which is a modified geometric string and hence, Eq. (25) reduces to,

$$
\frac{\ddot{X}_3}{X_3} - Z_1 \frac{\dot{X}_3^2}{X_3^2} + Z_2 \frac{\dot{X}_3}{X_3} + Z_3 = 0 \dots \dots \dots (43)
$$

where

$$
Z_1 = \left[ m(4-m) - \frac{\Lambda_2 (2m+1)^2}{9} \right] \frac{1}{(m-1)},
$$
  

$$
Z_2 = \frac{\Lambda_1 (2m+1)}{3(m-1)},
$$

**1355-5243/© The Authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).**

129

### **Corrosion Management ISSN: 1355-5243** *(https://corrosion-management.com/)*

**Volume 34, Issue 01 – June 2024**

**SCOPUS** 

$$
Z_3 = \frac{\Lambda_0}{(m-1)}.
$$

After solving the Eq. (43), we get

$$
X_3 = d_2 \exp\left[\frac{Z_2 t}{2(Z_1 - 1)}\right] \left[\sec\left(Z_4 \left(d_1 + \frac{t}{2}\right)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{Z_{1-1}}} \dots \dots \dots \dots (44)
$$

where,  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are the constant of integration and

$$
Z_4 = \sqrt{4Z_3 - 4Z_1Z_3 - Z_2^2}.
$$

### **3.3.1. Some physical and geometrical aspects**

The expressions for energy density  $\rho$ , string tension  $\lambda$ , cosmological term  $\Lambda$ , coefficient of viscosity ζ, the spatial volume V, Hubble parameter H, expansion scalar  $\Theta$ , shear scalar  $\sigma^2$ , deceleration parameter  $q$  and anisotropic parameter  $A_h$  as follows,

$$
\rho = \frac{m(m+2)}{4(Z_1 - 1)^2} \Big[ Z_4 \tan \Big[ Z_4 \Big( d_1 + \frac{t}{2} \Big) \Big] + Z_2 \Big]^2
$$

$$
- \frac{\alpha^2}{n^2} \frac{1}{\Big[ d_2 \exp \Big[ \frac{Z_2 t}{2(Z_1 - 1)} \Big] \Big[ \sec \Big( Z_4 \Big( d_1 + \frac{t}{2} \Big) \Big) \Big]^{2\overline{1} - 1} \Big]^{2m} \cdots \cdots (45)
$$

$$
\lambda = \frac{Z_4^2 (m - 1)}{4(Z_1 - 1)} \sec^2 \Big[ Z_4 \Big( d_1 + \frac{t}{2} \Big) \Big]
$$

*(https://corrosion-management.com/)* **Volume 34, Issue 01 – June 2024**

**SCOPUS** 

$$
+\frac{(m-1)(2m+1)}{4(2_1-1)^2} \Big[ Z_4 \tan \Big[ Z_4 \left( d_1 + \frac{t}{2} \right) \Big] + Z_2 \Big]^2
$$
  

$$
-\frac{\alpha^2}{n^2} \frac{1}{\Big[ d_2 \exp \Big[ \frac{Z_2 t}{2(Z_1-1)} \Big] \Big[ \sec \Big( Z_4 \left( d_1 + \frac{t}{2} \right) \Big] \Big]^{\frac{1}{Z_1-1}} \Big]^{\frac{2m}{N}} \cdots \cdots (46)}
$$
  

$$
\Lambda = \Lambda_0 + \frac{\Lambda_1 (2m+1)}{6(Z_1-1)} \Big[ Z_4 \tan \Big[ Z_4 \left( d_1 + \frac{t}{2} \right) \Big] + Z_2 \Big]
$$
  

$$
+\frac{\Lambda_2 (2m+1)^2}{36(Z_1-1)^2} \Big[ Z_4 \tan \Big[ Z_4 \left( d_1 + \frac{t}{2} \right) \Big] + Z_2 \Big]^2 \cdots \cdots (47)
$$
  

$$
\zeta = \frac{(m+1)Z_4^2}{2(2m+1)} \frac{\sec^2 \Big[ Z_4 \left( d_1 + \frac{t}{2} \right) \Big]}{\Big[ Z_4 \tan \Big[ Z_4 \left( d_1 + \frac{t}{2} \right) \Big] + Z_2 \Big]}
$$
  

$$
+\frac{(m^2+m+1)}{2(2m+1)(Z_1-1)} \Big[ Z_4 \tan \Big[ Z_4 \left( d_1 + \frac{t}{2} \right) \Big] + Z_2 \Big] \cdots \cdots (48)
$$
  

$$
V = \Bigg[ d_2 \exp \Big[ \frac{Z_2 t}{2(Z_1-1)} \Big] \Big[ \sec \Big( Z_4 \left( d_1 + \frac{t}{2} \right) \Big] \Big]^{Z_1=1}^{-2m+1} \cdots (49)
$$
  

$$
H = \frac{(2m+1)}{6(Z_1-1)} \Big[ Z_4 \tan \Big[ Z_4 \left( d_1 + \frac{t}{2} \right) \Big] + Z_2 \Big] \cdots \cdots (50)
$$
  

$$
\Theta = \frac{(2m+1)}{2(Z_1-1)^2} \Big[ Z_4 \tan \Big[ Z_4 \left( d_1
$$

### **4. Results and Discussion**

Here, we have derived solutions to the Einstein field equations for two specific conditions: Case (i):  $\omega \to \infty$ 

- - From graph  $(1)$ , it can be noted that as the variable  $t$  grows, the scale factors likewise increase, whereas the energy density  $\rho$ , cosmological term  $\Lambda$ , and Hubble parameter H (as shown in figures (3), (5), and (7)) drop with increasing  $t$ .
	- The criteria for energy density,  $\rho > 0$ , is fulfilled. From equation (35), it is evident that the value of  $\lambda$  is zero.

• The cosmological term  $\Lambda$  is positively correlated with time  $t$  and exhibits a decreasing trend.



Figure 1: Case (i)  $\rightarrow \infty$  : The relation between  $X_3$  and time t,  $m = 3$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 =$ 3,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ .

Case (ii):  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ , here we discuss the modified geometric string.



**1355-5243/© The Authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).**

Figure 2: Case (i)  $\rightarrow \infty$  : The relation between  $X_3$  and Cosmic time t in 3-Dimensional,  $m =$ 3,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ .



Figure 3: Case (i)  $\rightarrow \infty$  : The relation between energy density  $\rho$  and Cosmic time t,  $m =$ 3,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ .



**1355-5243/© The Authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).**

Figure 4: Case (i)  $\rightarrow \infty$  : The relation between energy density  $\rho$  and Cosmic time t in 3-Dimensional,  $m = 3$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ .



Figure 5: Case (i)  $\rightarrow \infty$  : The relation between Cosmological Constant  $\Lambda(t)$  and Cosmic time t,  $m = 3$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ .



Figure 6: Case (i)  $\rightarrow \infty$  : The relation between Cosmological Constant  $\Lambda(t)$  and Cosmic time t in 3-Dimensional view,  $m = 3$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ 

**Volume 34, Issue 01 – June 2024**

**SCOPUS** 



Figure 7: Case (i)  $\rightarrow \infty$  : The relation between Hubble parameter *H* and Cosmic time *t*, *m* = 3,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ .



Figure 8: Case (i)  $\rightarrow \infty$  : The relation between Hubble parameter *H* and Cosmic time *t* in 3-Dimensional view,  $m = 3$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ .

• Based on the figure (11), it is evident that the energy density  $\rho$  decreases as time t increases within the range  $1 < n < 4$ . Furthermore, based on Equation (45), it is determined that  $\rho$  must be greater than or equal to zero, and this requirement influences the selection of constant values.

- As the variable t increases, both the Hubble parameter H and the expansion scalar  $\Theta$ similarly increase.
- As the variable t approaches zero, it is noted that the value of  $\lambda$  remains constant.
- It is evident that the spatial volume is zero at  $t = 0$  for appropriate values of constants, and it grows as t increases.
- Since,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\sigma}{\omega}$  $\frac{0}{\Theta}$  = constant, the model does not approach isotropy at late time.
- When the constants are appropriately chosen, we obtain a result of  $q < 0$ , which supports the preference for accelerating models. Therefore, we can conclude that the outcome aligns with the present findings of SNe Ia and CMBR.
- The behavior of the mean anisotropy parameter is contingent upon the value of  $n$ .



As  $n \to 1$ ,  $q \to 0$  and  $A_h$  vanishes.

Figure 9: Case (ii)  $\rightarrow$  0 : The relation between  $X_3$  and time t,  $m = 3$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 =$  $-3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 0.05$ ,  $d_1 = 1$ ,  $d_2 = 1$ ,  $Z_1 = 1.36$ ,  $Z_2 = 1.16$ ,  $Z_3 = -1.5$ ,  $Z_4 =$  $0.9024, t_0 = 1.$ 

### **5. Conclusion:**

This paper examines the modified equation of state (EoS) of the Takabayasi string, which is represented by the equation  $\rho = (1 + \omega)\lambda + \Lambda(t)$ . The investigation focuses on the existence of a bulk viscous fluid and the solution of

*(https://corrosion-management.com/)* **Volume 34, Issue 01 – June 2024**



Figure 10: Case (ii)  $\rightarrow$  0 : The relation between  $X_3$  and Cosmic time t in 3-Dimensional view,  $m = 3$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = -3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 0.05$ ,  $d_1 = 1$ ,  $d_2 = 1$ ,  $Z_1 = 1.36$ ,  $Z_2 =$ 1.16,  $Z_3 = -1.5$ ,  $Z_4 = 0.9024$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ .

the field equation within the Bianchi III model. We have examined the two intriguing scenarios based on the value of  $\omega$ , specifically the extreme situations of  $\omega$  approaching infinity and  $\omega$  approaching zero. It is observed that when the angular frequency  $\omega$  approaches infinity, we solved Equation (26) to find the value of the scale factor  $C$  and determined the different physical parameters. Similarly, when  $\omega$  approaches zero, we solved the differential equation (43) to find the value of  $C$  and achieved the precise solution. We next thoroughly examined all the physical parameters by graphing them.

### **Case (i):**  $\omega \to \infty$

From graph  $(1)$ , it is evident that as t grows, the scale factors increase, but the energy density  $ρ$ , cosmological term Λ, and Hubble parameter *H* decrease, as shown in figures (3), (5), and (7). The energy density criterion, where  $\rho$ 

### **SCOPUS**



Figure 11: Case (ii)  $\rightarrow 0$ : The relation between energy density  $\rho$  and Cosmic time t,  $m =$ 3,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = -3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 0.05$ ,  $d_1 = 1$ ,  $d_2 = 1$ ,  $Z_1 = 1.36$ ,  $Z_2 =$ 1.16,  $Z_3 = -1.5$ ,  $Z_4 = 0.9024$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ . is greater than zero, is fulfilled. From equation (34), it is evident that the value of lambda is zero. It has been noted that the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  is positive and diminishes as time t grows.

**Case (ii):**  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ , here we discuss the modified geometric string. The energy density  $\rho$  is a decreasing function of time t for the range  $1 < n < 4$ , as can be seen in figure (11), which makes this assertion very evident. In addition, the values of constants are selected based on the equation (46), where *rho* is equal to zero. The Hubble parameter  $H$  and the expansion scalar  $\theta$  both rise in proportion to the increase in t. As the value of t approaches zero, it is noted that the value of  $\lambda$  remains unchanged. As  $t = 0$  for proper values of constants, it is possible to observe that the spatial volume is zero, and that it increases as the value of  $t$ increases during the process. Given that  $\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\sigma}{\rho}$  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  = constant, it may be concluded that the model does not approach isotropy at relatively late times. When the constants are determined to be suitable, we obtain a value of  $q < 0$ , which is favorable for accelerating

*(https://corrosion-management.com/)* **Volume 34, Issue 01 – June 2024**

**SCOPUS** 



Figure 12: Case (ii)  $\rightarrow$  0 : The relation between energy density  $\rho$  and Cosmic time t in 3-Dimensional,  $m = 3$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = -3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 0.05$ ,  $d_1 = 1$ ,  $d_2 = 1$ ,  $Z_1 =$ 1.36,  $Z_2 = 1.16$ ,  $Z_3 = -1.5$ ,  $Z_4 = 0.9024$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ .

models. The conclusion that we can draw from this is that the result is in agreement with the most recent measurements of SNe Ia and CMBR. It is dependent on the value of  $n$  that the dynamics of the mean anisotropy parameter are determined. As  $n, q$ , and  $A<sub>h</sub>$  disappear, the value of  $n$  is considered to be 1.

The model is experiencing acceleration for values of n greater than 1 . Therefore, the anisotropy in the models is consistently preserved in both models. Furthermore, the model exhibiting a negative deceleration parameter aligns with the latest Supernovae Ia findings, indicating that the universe is currently experiencing acceleration during its later stages. Thus, the model accurately characterizes a universe that is always growing, undergoing shear, and without rotation. Current findings and literature support the speeding

*(https://corrosion-management.com/)* **Volume 34, Issue 01 – June 2024**

**SCOPUS** 



Figure 13: Case (ii)  $\rightarrow$  0 : The relation between Cosmological Constant  $\Lambda(t)$  and Cosmic time t,  $m = 3$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = -3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 0.05$ ,  $d_1 = 1$ ,  $d_2 = 1$ ,  $Z_1 =$ 1.36,  $Z_2 = 1.16$ ,  $Z_3 = -1.5$ ,  $Z_4 = 0.9024$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ .

hypothesis of the cosmos.



Figure 14: Case (ii)  $\rightarrow$  0 : The relation between Cosmological Constant  $\Lambda(t)$  and Cosmic time t in 3-Dimensional view,  $m = 3$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = -3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 0.05$ ,  $d_1 =$ 

1, 
$$
d_2 = 1
$$
,  $Z_1 = 1.36$ ,  $Z_2 = 1.16$ ,  $Z_3 = -1.5$ ,  $Z_4 = 0.9024$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ .



Figure 15: Case (ii)  $\rightarrow$  0 : The relation between Hubble parameter *H* and Cosmic time *t*, *m* = 3,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = -3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 0.05$ ,  $d_1 = 1$ ,  $d_2 = 1$ ,  $Z_1 = 1.36$ ,  $Z_2 =$ 1.16,  $Z_3 = -1.5$ ,  $Z_4 = 0.9024$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ .



Figure 16: Case (ii)  $\rightarrow$  0 : The relation between Hubble parameter *H* and Cosmic time *t* in 3-Dimensional view,  $m = 3$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = 3$ ,  $\Lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ of a time-dependent Λ term Phys. Rev. D, Vol. 46, 2404 (1992).

#### **6. References**

[1] Bali, R., and Pradhan, A., Bianchi Type-III String Cosmological Models with Time Dependent Bulk Viscosity, Chin. Phys. Lett., Vol. 24, Number 2, 585 (2007).

[2] Banerjee, A., Sanyal, A. K. and Chakraborty, S., String cosmology in Bianchi I spacetime, Pramana J. Phys., Vol. 34, 1 (1990).

[3] Brevik, I., Gorbunova, O., Dark energy and viscous cosmology, Gen. Rel. Grav., Vol. 37, 2039 (2005).

[4] Brevik, I., Gorbunova, O. G. and Timoshkin, A. V., Dark Energy Fluid with Time-Dependent, Inhomogeneous Equation of State, Eur. Phys. J., Vol. C51, 179 (2007).

[5] Capozziello, S., Cardone, V., Elizalde, E., Nojiri, S. and Odintsov, S. D., Observational constraints on dark energy with generalized equations of state, Phys. Rev. D., Vol. 73, 043512 (2006).

[6] Cardone, V., Tortora, C., Troisi, A. and Capozziello, S., Beyond the perfect fluid hypothesis for the dark energy equation of state, Phys. Rev. D., Vol. 73, 043508 (2006).

[7] Carvalho, J. C., Lima, J. A. S. and Waga, I., Cosmological consequences

[8] Chen, W. and Wu, Y. S., Implications of a cosmological constant varying as  $R^{-2}$ , Phys. Rev. D, Vol. 41, 695 (1990).

[9] Copeland, E., Sami, M. and Tsujikawa, S., Dynamics of dark energy, Int. Mod. Phys., Vol D<sub>15</sub>, 1753 (2006).

[10] Gadbail, G. N., Arora, S. Kumar, P. & Sahoo, P. K., Interaction of divergence-free deceleration parameter in Weyl-type  $f(0, T)$  gravity, Ch. J. Phys., 79, 246-255 (2022).

[11] Georgiev, S. G., Khadekar, G. S., Kumar, P., Two Dimensional Integral Inequalities on Time Scales, arXiv preprint, arXiv:2003.05309, 2020.

[12] Islam, S., Kumar, P., Gravitational Model of Compact Spherical Reissner-Nordström-

Type Star Under  $f(R, T)$  Gravity, J. Dyn. Sys. and Geo. Theo. 19(1), 135-154, 2021.

[13] Islam, S., Kumar, P., Khadekar, G. S. & Das, T. K., (2+ 1) dimensional cosmological models in  $f(R, T)$  gravity with  $\Lambda(R, T)$ , J. Phys.: Con. Ser., 1258(1), 012026 (2019).

[14] Jamila, M., Rashid, Interacting dark energy with inhomogeneous equation of state, M.

A., Eur. Phys. J. C Vol. 56, 429 (2008).

[15] Kumbhare, S. R. , Kumar, P., Islam, S., Strange Quark Matter attached to String Cloud in General Relativity under 5D space-time, J. Tensor Society, 16, 81-92, 2022.

[16] Kumar, P., Khadekar, G. S., Dagwal, V. J., Two Fluids Cosmological Model in  $(2 + 1)$ -

Dimensional Saez-Ballester Scalar-Tensor Theory of Gravitation, J. Dyn. Sys. and Geo. Theo., 20(1), 91-114, 2022.

[17] Khadekar, G. S., Kumar, P. & Islam, S., Modified Chaplygin gas with bulk viscous cosmology in FRW  $(2+1)$ -dimensional spacetime, J. Astro. and Astro., 40(5), 40 (2019).

[18] Khadekar, G. S., Raut, D., FRW viscous fluid cosmological model with time-dependent inhomogeneous equation of state, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. Vol. 15, 1830001 (5018).

[19] Kibble, T. W. B., Topology of cosmic domains and strings, J. Phys. A: Mathematical and General, Vol. 9, Number 8, 1387 (1976).

[20] Letelier, P. S., Unified theory of direct interaction between particles, strings, and membranes, J. Math. Phys., Vol. 19, 1898 (1978).

[21] Letelier, P. S., String cosmologies, Phys. Rev. D, Vol. 28, 2414 (1983).

[22] Lima, J. A. S. and Maia J. M. F., Deflationary cosmology with decaying vacuum energy density, Phys. Rev. D., Vol 49, 5597 (1994).

[23] Lima, J. A. S. and Trodden, M., Decaying vacuum energy and deflationary cosmology in open and closed universes, Phys. Rev. D Vol. 53, 4280 (1996).

[24] Nojiri, S. and Odintsov, S. D., Quantum de Sitter cosmology and phantom matter, Phys.

Lett. B., Vol. 562, Issue 3-4, 147 (2003).

[25] Nojiri, S. and Odintsov, S. D., Effective equation of state and energy conditions in phantom/tachyon inflationary cosmology perturbed by quantum effects, Phys. Lett. B, Vol. 571, Issue 1-2, 1(2003).

[26] Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S. D., Final state and thermodynamics of a dark energy universe, Phys. Rev. D., Vol. 70, 103522 (2004).

[27] Nojiri, S., Odinstov, S. D., Inhomogeneous equation of state of the universe: Phantom era, future singularity, and crossing the phantom barrier, Phys. Rev. D. Vol. 72, 023003 (2005).

[28] Nojiri, S. and Odintsov, S. D., The oscillating dark energy: future singularity and coincidence problem Phys, Lett. B, Vol 637, Issue 3, 139 (2006).

[29] Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S. D., Introduction to modified gravity and gravitational alternative for dark energy, Int. J. Geom. Method. Mod. Phys., Vol. 4, No.1, 115 (2007).

[30] Pavon, D., Jou, J., Bafaluy, D., Class. Quant. Grav., Vol. 8, 357 (1991).

[31] Ramtekkar, N. A., Kumar P. and Khadekar, G. S., FRW Viscous Modified Cosmic Chaplygin Gas Cosmology with Variable Cosmological Constant Λ, J. Dyn. Sys. and Geo. Theo., 21(1), 29-44, 2023.

[32] Rahman, F., Chakraborty, S., Hossain, M., Begum, N. and Das, S., String Cosmology in the Lyra Geometry, Bulg. J. Phys., Vol. 28, No. 5-6, 217 (2001).

[33] Ren, J., Meng, X. H., Cosmological model with viscosity media (dark fluid) described by an effective equation of state, Phys. Lett. B., Vol. 633, Issue 1, 1 (2006).

[34] Ren, J. and Meng, X. H., Modified equation of state, scalar field, and bulk viscosity in Friedmann universe, Phys. Lett. B., Vol. 636, Issue 1, 5 (2006).

[35] Sharma, A., Tyagi, A., Chhajed, D., Inhomogeneous Bianchi type-VIo String Cosmological Model for Stiff Perfect Fluid Distribution in General Relativity, Prespacetime Journal, Vol. 7, No 3, 615 (2016).

[36] Singh, J. P., Tiwari, R. K. and Shukla, P., Bianchi Type-III Cosmological Models with Gravitational Constant G and the Cosmological Constant ^, Chin. Phys. Lett., Vol. 24, No. 12, 3325 (2007).

[37] Singh C. P., Some exact solutions of magnetized viscous model in string cosmology, Pramana Journal of Phys., Vol. 83, 63 (2014).

[38] Stachel, J., Thickening the string. I. The string perfect dust, Phys. Rev. D. Vol. 21, 2171 (1980).

[39] Stefancic, H., Expansion around the vacuum equation of state: Sudden future singularities and asymptotic behavior, Phys. Rev. D, Vol. 71, 084024 (2005).

[40] Takabayasi T., In Quantum Mechanics, Determinism, Casuality and Particles, edited by M. Flato et al. (Riedal Dorrecht Holland), p. 179 (1976).

[41] Talole, R., Kumar, P., Islam, S., Viscous Modified Ghost Scalar Field Dark Energy Models with Varying G, R. Astro. J., 33, 81-109, 2023.

[42] Tiwari, R., and Sharma S., Non Existence of Shear in Bianchi Type-III String Cosmological Models with Bulk Viscosity and Time-Dependent Λ Term, Chin. Phys. Lett., Vol. 28, No. 2, 020401 (2011).

[43] Tripathi, B. R.,Tyagi, A., Parikh, S., Inhomogeneous Bianchi Type I Cosmological Model for Stiff Perfect Fluid Distribution, Prespacetime Journal, Vol. 7, Issue 12, 1666 (2016).

[44] Vilenkin, A., Cosmic strings, Phys. Rev. D., Vol. 24, 2082 (1981).

[45] Yadav, M. K., Rai, A. and Pradhan, A., Some Bianchi Type III String Cosmological Models with Bulk Viscosity, Int J Theor Phys., Vol. 46, 2677 (2007).

[46] Zel'dovich, Ya. B., Cosmological consequences of spontaneous violation of discrete symmetry, Sov. Phys. JETP., Vol. 40, 1 (1974).